LANCASTER CITY COUNCIL WEST END MASTERPLAN PROJECTS MID TERM REFRESH AGAINST ECONOMIC VISION

WIID TERW REFRESH AGAINST ECONOMIC VISION						
Project Title:						
Masterplan						
reference/identification:						
Brief description						
CURRENT DELIVERY STATUS:		A				
Lead body commitment						
Partner / funders commitment						
Site/premises identified						
Statutory permissions secured						
Pre-commencement/feasibility						
work undertaken / funds spent						
(all partners)						
Contract commenced (date)						
Contract completion date						
End date of project						
STRATEGIC FIT: How does the project fit with the strate	regic objectives of th	e new Economic Vision, Local D	evelopment			
Framework Core Strategy and/or the	e Čouncil's priorities	?				
Does the project/idea fit any other LS	P/stakeholder agen	da or have support?				
REALISM/TIME:						
Likely Cost of main project						
(excluding 'sunk' costs)						
Realistic match funding sources						
Likelihood of securing key/major	High	Short term				
"Economic Vision" resources i.e.	Medium	Medium term				
NWDA, ERDF, private sector.	Low	Long term				
Likelihood of securing other	High	Short term				
stakeholder resources/commitment.	Medium	Medium term				
	Low	Long term				
If funded project delivery is:	High possibility	Short term				

West End refresh form: Draft version 0 03

Med possibility

Medium term

		Low pos	sibility		Long terr	n		
VALUE FOR MON	NEY / ADDITIONA	L BENEFIT	CHEC	K		<u>,</u>		
Core objective								
Economic impact option	of preferred	High		Med		Low		
Key Project bene (output/outcome)	fit			1		1		
	ionality (how proje	ct benefit co	mplem	ents/ duplicate	s other project	s/initiati	ves)	
Dead weight (likelihood	Leakage - (likelihood of	Displace (takes ma		Substitutes (target	Multipli	<u>'</u>		
`activity/ provision	benefits being lost from	share, lab	our,	sector/firms substitute awa) Summar		,
arises anyway)	Morecambe)	private se	ector	from other	y			
		or replac core pub		locally advantageous				
		funds		activity)				
H/M/L	H/M/L	H/M/L		H/M/L	H/M/L		H/M/	=
Does the project therefore represent value for money in terms of Economic Vision priorities?								
Would the project represent VFM in terms of another LSP agenda / implementation framework (i.e. one with a less economic focus)								
RISKS								
Coarse risk prof	file: Advanta	age	Disadv	antage	Risk		Miti	gation
Deliver								
Hold/refer as opportunity/plan review								
End involvement								
Project analysis p	provided by:	Name:			Date:			
· · ·	<u>-</u>	Signature:	•					
INDEPENDENT OFFICER SUMMARY AND STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATION								

West End refresh form: Draft version 0 03

Chair of West end Officer Group:	Signature:	Date:
----------------------------------	------------	-------

